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PLEASE NOTE THAT ALL SESSIONS WILL TAKE PLACE IN CENTRAL EUROPEAN SUMMER TIME (CEST)!
Words of Welcome

Letter of the President:

Dear 2022 IAPSS World Congress Participants,

It is my pleasure to welcome you to the 2022 IAPSS World Congress. Thank you for sharing your research and academic perspectives with us and political science students around the world. This event, IAPSS' flagship conference, is a great opportunity to meet students from other campuses and countries who share your research interests and who may want to collaborate on future research projects, learn about current trends in political science student scholarship, develop experience presenting at academic conferences, and share your research with the world. The 2022 World Congress Team has done an excellent job preparing an exciting itinerary of panels, guest speakers, social activities, and other interesting segments to make your experience at this conference a memorable one.

It is also important to note that this is no ordinary World Congress. Due to the COVID-19, this conference is being offered entirely online for the second time in IAPSS' history. The implementation of this event thus signifies that student governments such as IAPSS have the potential to not only adapt to global challenges, but also develop innovative organizational capacities that can be replicated to augment events in future years. Your participation affirms the importance of student democracy and international cooperation, and furthermore shows the world that students working together can accomplish great things. Political science students in future years may look back to this World Congress to see how we were able to adapt, and they will see that we were able to persevere. Beyond that, this year's theme, Rethinking Political Science, Remaking Global Politics, challenges us to think normatively and imagine the world we want to see after COVID-19, a world with better politics and more peace, justice, and prosperity.

I would also like to take a moment to acknowledge that many of our fellow students from IAPSS Ukraine are not able to be present with us due to the conflict going on in their country. For more information about what political science students in Ukraine are going through and what you can do to help, you can check out IAPSS' webpage about the invasion at iapss.org/ukraine.

There is much to look forward to in the days ahead. Even after the World Congress, IAPSS offers many opportunities for research collaboration, student advocacy, learning, and professional development. I encourage you to remain involved and explore the IAPSS website or contact IAPSS team members to learn more about the wide range of benefits IAPSS offers. Also, please attend the important General Assembly and Elections the day after the World Congress (more information on that is at the end of this guide).

Enjoy the World Congress and please let us know if there is anything you need. On behalf of IAPSS, we look forward to hearing your presentations!

Best,
Justin Patrick,
IAPSS President
Letter of the World Congress Co-heads:

Dear delegates, speakers, and guests,

A warm welcome to all of you to the IAPSS World Congress 2022. It is an exciting time for IAPSS to hold our annual conference this year for the second time fully virtual, with each of you around the world with different backgrounds. All of you have come to the World Congress to exchange thoughts and experiences, question beliefs, challenge your perspectives, and challenge our ability to remain adaptable, motivated, and responsive to the events around the world.

Given the events of the past years, being a political science student seems to be of relevance and interest during these times, where politics around the world is changing in all areas faced with challenges of global public health, populism, climate crisis and the growing challenge between national and local cultures in favour of international cultural movements. The world of political science is an exciting area in which to work/study/play, and we will continue to meet and bring inspired people together in forums like this to ensure IAPSS remains at the cutting edge.

During the next few days, you will immerse yourselves with fellow political science students and researchers in the topic of “Rethinking Political Science”. The World Congress teams hope to see many of you engage and challenge others in the debate. With an international group of delegates, it is an excellent opportunity to broaden your network. Use this time to meet with your colleagues worldwide and create contacts. We also hope you will learn and engage with our speakers, each specialised in their fields covering areas of gender, migration, international security, East-Asia, and more.

Our World Congress team have been working for the past months to meet the challenges of organising a second virtual World Congress and to excel despite setbacks. We are very proud of what we have organised for you and are excited for the next few days and your feedback. We will be available to assist you with any issues you may encounter; therefore, please do not hesitate to reach out to us.

We want to thank each of you for attending our conference and bringing your expertise to our gathering. You, as panellists, have the vision, the knowledge, and the experience to help pave our way into the future. You are truly our greatest asset today and tomorrow, and we could not accomplish what we do without your support and leadership. We hope you enjoy the next few days and leave with new insights, connections and memories.

Crystal Staebell & Minya Chan
Co-heads of World Congress 2022
International Association of Political Science Students
About: The Organisers
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On the theme

The 21st century commenced with the dominance of discourses on ‘crisis’, ‘erosion’, and ‘peril’. As political and theoretical life continues, the emergence of a new world, new forms of governance, and alternative theories appear. Political transformations penetrate and alter concepts such as liberalism, sovereignty, and democracy.

A new world knows new winners and losers and therefore reframes norm preferences while questioning hegemony and legitimacy. Trends like the digitalization of politics and societies, environmental deadlocks as well as new forms of labor are constantly altering the nature of politics and Political Science. Similarly, processes of growing global economic integration, political fragmentation, and the contestation of the existing world order through rising powers as China emphasize some of the ostensibly irreconcilable trajectories. In fact, our world already provides different futures: the future of empowerment versus domination, of freedoms versus policing, and diversity versus homogeneity. This is why analyzing this new world requires us to rethink our discipline in multiple ways.

We ask: what are the new world's key components on an individual and global scale? In which aspects is it this new world a continuation of the old world and in which sense is it breaking fundamentally with old preconditions of politics? To what extent do new forms of technology, mobility, and warfare require us to alter existing theories? And which theories, ideologies, methods, and research designs provide our new generation of political scientists with the necessary tools to comprehend our new world?

On the programme

The IAPSS World Congress 2022 aims to provide students of political science, international relations and related disciplines a platform to present their work and research within a variety of student panels, and to bring you together with experts from academia, politics, the media and civil society to discuss and examine topics and issues around the Congress theme “Rethinking Political Science, Remaking Global Politics” in depth.

Each day is divided into time slots. At each panel, three to four students and junior scholars will present their research and work in the form of short paper presentations, facilitated by a panel chair, a panel discussant and the audience present.
About IAPSS

Mission
The International Association for Political Science Students (IAPSS) is a worldwide representation of students of political science and related studies. IAPSS strives to deliver sustainable academic contributions to the education of its members, foster exchange among young social scientists across the globe, and promote social and scientific responsibility. We do this by offering a multitude of events, publications, professionalization and research networks.

With a total network of over 215,000 individuals from more than eighty countries, IAPSS seeks to promote political science as a discipline and be a global network for students. The Association – founded in 1998 in Leiden (the Netherlands) – is exclusively student-led, non-profit oriented and politically independent. The IAPSS Headquarters is located in Nijmegen (the Netherlands) – hosted and supported by Radboud University Nijmegen, IAPSS’ premium partner and itself a leading institution in the political science arena in Europe.

On the international level, IAPSS works closely together with the International Political Science Association (IPSA), the European Consortium for Political Science Research (ECPR), the Asia-Europe Foundation (ASEF) as well as other partner organizations.

Three pillars
Our portfolio and annual agenda provided with great dedication to our members is built up around three pillars. In each pillar, we conceptualize and realize activities, projects and services that contribute to the academic, personal and social development of our members. Worldwide. 365 days all year long.

1. IAPSS Events – Global Political Science Gatherings
2. IAPSS Academic – Journals, Publishing, Delegations & Research
3. Professionalisation and Career Development

IAPSS’ unique international network enables us to influence debates, initiatives and scientific developments internationally. More than 215,000 individuals follow IAPSS on social media and regular consultations take place with our international partners in the field of political science.
Programme overview

26.04 Tuesday

08.30 - 09:00 OPENING CEREMONY

09:00 - 10:30 SPEAKER with Omar De Mossoul

10:45 - 12:15 STUDENT PANELS

12:45 - 14:15 STUDENT PANELS

14:30 - 16:00 STUDENT PANELS

16:15 - 17:45 KEYNOTE SPEAKER by Raymond Kuo

27.04 Wednesday

10:00 - 11:30 STUDENT PANELS

12:15 - 13:45 PhD WORKSHOP by Mark Bennett

14:30 - 16:00 SPEAKER with Keiichi Kubo

16:15 - 17:45 STUDENT PANELS

18:00 - 19:30 STUDENT PANELS

28.04 Thursday

10:00 - 11:30 SPEAKER with Sarah Liu

12:00 - 13:30 STUDENT PANELS

14:15 - 15:45 STUDENT PANELS

16:00 - 17:30 SPEAKER with Rainer Matos Franco

18:00 - 19:30 STUDENT PANELS
29.04 Friday

10:00 - 11:30 STUDENT PANELS

12:30 - 14:00 SPEAKER with Andrea Renda

14:30 - 16:00 STUDENT PANELS

16:00 - 17:30 SPEAKER with Tony Payan

17:45 - 19:15 STUDENT PANELS

19:15 - 19:30 CLOSING SESSION

PLEASE NOTE THAT ALL SESSIONS WILL TAKE PLACE IN CENTRAL EUROPEAN TIME (CEST)!
Opening, Closing Sessions, Guest Speakers

OPENING SESSION
Tuesday, April 26 8:30 - 09:00 (CEST)
Minya Chan - Co-Head of IAPSS World Congress; Crystal Staebell - Co-Head of IAPSS World Congress; Justin Patrick - President of IAPSS

SPEAKER
Tuesday, April 26 09:00 - 10:30 (CEST)
Omar De Mossoul “The Revival of Mosul's Cultural Heritage”

- Space, Time and People: Writing the History of Mosul in Wartime
  A man sets out to draw the world. As the years go by, he peoples a space with images of provinces, kingdoms, mountains, bays, ships, islands, fishes, rooms, instruments, stars, horses, and individuals. A short time before he dies, he discovers that the patient labyrinth of lines traces the lineaments of his own face. Borges (2004: 183)

How does an ethnographer [historian] write about violence [against the history of his own city]? How can he make sense of violent acts, for himself and for his readers, without compromising its sheer excess and its meaning-defying core? How can he remain a scholarly observer when the country of his birth is engulfed by terror?

These were the questions raised by Errol Valentine Daniel (1996) in his book Charred Lullabies. His words seemed meaningful to me. It is almost impossible to make sense of the events that have occurred in Mosul in recent years, but I will nonetheless try to shed light on the history of violence against space and time.

For centuries, Mosul created and maintained a unique cultural identity. It has endured periods of bloodshed and extreme change in its structure, rule and architecture. Any other city may have given way to what might have been a completely new system and social fabric after every foreign invasion.

The city of Mosul has experienced almost 300 invasions by various foreign nations and empires. Nevertheless, it has always protected its cultural heritage and identity, whether through language, literature or visual memory. Since its destruction by the so-called Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS), much research has focused on its tangible heritage without taking into account its role in shaping the local identity. Space is the context through which we can examine the formation of time and ideas, or as my title implies, people and time. Arguably, history works when people can engage daily with their inherited surroundings. The recent invasion by ISIS and its subsequent
defeat have left the city with staggering losses of both tangible and intangible heritage. Furthermore, since this destruction occurred, hundreds of articles treating and discussing culture as a material object disconnected from its main function of producing the city’s identity.

About Omar De Mossoul
Omar Mohammed is an historian from Mosul, known until recently only as the anonymous blogger ‘Mosul Eye’. Through Mosul Eye, Omar set out to inform the world about life under the Islamic State in his city. He is the host of the podcast series Mosul and the Islamic State, which tells untold stories from inside the Islamic State’s reign of terror, the pursuit of justice in its aftermath, and the enduring struggle of the people of Mosul for a better future. Omar is currently teaching Terrorism and Transformation of Citites, Middle East History and Cultural Heritage Diplomacy at Sciences Po University. His focus has now shifted to the advocacy of social initiatives for the people of Mosul, including the international effort to re-supply the Central Library of the University of Mosul. At the intersection of media, academia, and civil society, Omar is motivated to develop new networks of collaboration and innovations in humanitarian action. As an historian and lecturer at the University of Mosul, he focuses his scholarly work on conceptual history and research dealing with local historiographies and narratives, micro-histories, and Orientalism. Omar is a regular media commentator on Iraq, has an MA in Middle East History from the University of Mosul, and was named 2013 Researcher of the Year by Iraq’s Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. His doctoral research explores history and historians in 19th and 20th century Mosul. He now lives in exile in Europe.

Tuesday, April 26 16:15 - 17:45 (CEST)
Raymond Kuo “Order and Security in Asia”

- What is international order? Are we in a new ordering moment? What order should Asia choose? China’s rise is upending the region and the globe. The lecture will explore why order is important (especially for small states), the choices confronting regional countries, and what the U.S. can do to offer a credible, legitimate alternative. It will close on the implications of these questions for education and careers in International Relations.

About Raymond Kuo
Raymond Kuo is an expert on international security, international order, and East Asia. Currently, he is a Political Scientist with the RAND Corporation. He was previously an Assistant Professor of Political Science at Fordham University and, before that, at the University at Albany, SUNY. He has also served as the Executive Director of the Midwest International Policy Institute, as well as working in foreign
policy and strategy at the United Nations, the National Democratic Institute, and the Democratic Progressive Party (Taiwan), among others. His first book – Following the Leader: International Order, Alliance Strategies, and Emulation – was published by Stanford University Press in August 2021. It explains how military alliance strategies generate international order. Dr. Kuo holds a Ph.D. in Politics from Princeton University; an M.Sc. in International Relations from the London School of Economics; and a B.A. from Wesleyan University.

Book recommendations:
Chapter 3 of Ikenberry’s After Victory, "An Institutional Theory of Order Formation."

“The Melian Dialogue”

Wednesday, April 27 12:15 - 13:45 (CEST)
Mark Bennett: “How to write a Ph.D. Research Proposal”

- This approachable session will demystify the PhD research proposal writing process, explaining what it is a proposal needs to do and what it needs to be in order to do those things. We’ll look at key criteria, examine proposal structure and refer to examples of good and bad practice.

About Mark Bennett
Dr Mark Bennett is Director of Audience and Editorial at FindAUniversity, publishers of FindAMasters and FindAPhD: two leading websites for prospective postgraduate students. He has overall responsibility for ensuring those resources are as useful as they possibly can be and for understanding and responding to the needs of a global student audience. Mark regularly speaks at events for prospective postgraduates, universities and policymakers, covering topics ranging from student recruitment insight to application clinics. He earned his PhD in English Literature from the University of Sheffield and has held previous teaching positions at different UK universities.

Reading recommendations:
https://www.findaphd.com/advice/

https://www.findaphd.com/advice/blog/?a=4

Wednesday, April 27 14:30 - 16:00 (CEST)
Keiichi Kubo: “Political Impacts of War Crimes Prosecution: Theory and Empirics”

- There are more and more reports on the war crimes committed in the territory of Ukraine, and the increasing number of people and institutions
now call for the prosecution of Russian political and military personnel, including President Putin, for war crimes. What will be impacts of the war crimes prosecution on the ongoing warfare, the Russian international relations with other countries and the domestic politics in Russia? Can it help shortening the duration of the warfare or minimizing the extent of the war crimes? In order to address these questions, this lecture will discuss the political impacts of war crimes prosecution based on the recent theoretical and empirical findings in comparative politics.

About Keiichi Kubo
Keiichi KUBO received his Ph.D. from London School of Economics and Political Science in 2007 and joined the faculty at Waseda University in 2008. His research interests include various topics in Comparative Politics such as democratization, elections and party system, ethnic politics, civil war, transitional justice and reconciliation, with special emphasis on the ex-Yugoslav countries. He has published two monographs on ex-Yugoslavia, titled The State Torn Apart: Democratization and Ethnic Problems in the Former Yugoslavia (Tokyo: Yushindo-Kobunsya, 2003) and Contested Justice: Party Politics and Transitional Justice in the Former Yugoslavia (Tokyo: Yuhikaku, 2019) as well as various journal articles, book chapters and co-edited books. He has served as a board member of the Japan Political Science Association (2018 - current), the Japan Association for Comparative Politics (2010-14, 2016-20), and the Japan Association for Russian and East European Studies (2018-21). He is currently the Executive Committee member of the International Political Science Association (IPSA).

Reading recommendations:


Thursday, April 28 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- The media are found to racialize their framings of immigration and in turn shape public opinion. Yet, little is known about how the media’s gendered framings of immigrants affect attitudes toward male and female immigrants. Using survey experiments in Taiwan, I test the media’s gendered effect on public opinion. I hypothesize that when the media frame immigration as a form of economic competition, native citizens are more likely to reject male immigrants than female immigrants. I also hypothesize that when the media take an ethnocentric approach by framing immigration as a threat to the national culture and identity, native citizens are more likely to reject female immigrants than male immigrants. My findings show that participants’ acceptance toward migrant men and women varies depending on how the media gender in their framings of immigration. My study provides support for previously under-tested feminist theory and provides implications for how native citizens’ attitudes toward specific groups of immigrants help construct a fluid national identity in a globalized world.

About Sarah Liu
Currently a Lecturer (Assistant Professor) in Politics and International Relations at the School of Social and Political Science at the University of Edinburgh, Dr. Sarah Liu holds a dual Ph.D. in Political Science and Women’s, Gender, and Sexuality Studies from the Pennsylvania State University.

Sarah Liu is the Associate Editor and the Book Editor for Representation: Journal of Representative Democracy and the Consultant Editor for the British Journal of Politics and International Relations. She also sits on the advisory board of Political Behavior and Politics, Groups, and Identities. She has been recognized as an Emerging Diversity Scholar by the University of Michigan’s National Center for Institutional Diversity. Currently, she serves as Secretary in the Midwest Political Science Association’s Women’s Caucus and as an invited member of the Equality, Inclusion, and Access in the Discipline Taskforce of the Western Political Science Association. Prior to joining the University of Edinburgh, Dr. Liu has taught in the Department of Politics at Newcastle University in Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K. and the Department of Government at Smith College in Northampton, MA. In 2021, she was awarded the Sir Bernard Crick Prize for Outstanding Teaching by the U.K. Political Studies Association.

Her research focuses on the cross-national comparison of gender and politics, specifically the ways contexts shape the gender gap in political attitudes and
activities. Utilizing multilevel modeling approaches, her work examines the influence of female political leaders on women’s political participation.

Prior to joining the dual degree program at Penn State, Dr. Liu obtained an M.A. in Intercultural Service, Leadership, and Management at the World Learning SIT Graduate Institute in 2010. She received a B.A. in American Studies and Studio Art with a minor in International Peace Studies from the University of Notre Dame in 2006.

Reading recommendations:


Thursday, April 28 16:00 - 17:30 (CEST)

- The Russian intervention in Ukraine has revealed the many discourses on the very ethos of Ukraine’s existence from both sides. Concentrating solely on adjectivizing Vladimir Putin’s mind, claiming to “know” what the Russian president thinks and wants, obscures more than what it explains. This line of thought leaves out the agency of several actors inside Ukraine, their goals and interests, and does not answer the question about why the invasion took place in February 2022 and not before. I offer a more nuanced approach to the antecedents of the conflict, based on the demographic, political, economic, and cultural realities on the ground in the last three decades of independent Ukrainian life.

About Rainer Matos Franco
Rainer Matos Franco holds a BA in International Relations (2013) from El Colegio de México, one of Latin America’s leading think tanks in the social sciences. He studied the International MA in Russian and Eurasian Studies in the European University at St. Petersburg (2016), as well as the MA in Applied and Interdisciplinary History at the Higher School of Economics in St. Petersburg (2019). He is currently finishing his PhD in Russian History at the Higher School of Economics in St. Petersburg, under the joint-program “Global History of Empires”, together with the University of Turin. He has written several opinion articles on Russian and Ukrainian affairs for Mexican journals and newspapers, as well as articles published in both Mexican and
international peer-reviewed journals, such as Ab Imperio, where he published his latest article on national classifications at the schools of the Communist International in December 2021. He has published two books in Spanish under El Colegio de México’s prestigious publishing house: Historia minima de Rusia (“A brief history of Russia“, 2017) and Limbos rojizos. La nostalgia por el socialismo en Rusia y el mundo poscomunista (“Reddish limbos. Nostalgia for socialism in Russia and the postcommunist world“, 2018). Rainer’s current PhD project studies the articulations of the first transnational anticommunist movement through the Conradi-Polunin trial in Lausanne in 1923, in which both men, who murdered Soviet diplomat Vatslav Vorovsky, were acquitted by the jury on the grounds of just retribution. A paper based on archive research on the subject has been accepted for publication in the journal Revolutionary Russia.

Friday, April 29 12:30 - 14:00 (CEST)
Andrea Renda: “Who is afraid of AI? Prospects for international cooperation on Artificial intelligence”

- Over the past few years, all superpowers have adopted strategies on Artificial Intelligence (AI), mostly with the ambition to lead the world on this crucial general-purpose technology. In the past, both Vladimir Putin and Xi Jinping have declared that those that will dominate AI over the coming years will also end up dominating the world. At the same time, the private sector and international organisations have evoked the need for principles of responsible AI, as well as the need to global cooperation in this domain. The European Commission recently tabled the first comprehensive regulatory proposal, ad is being followed by the UK, Korea and the United States, though with slightly different approaches. Can the world agree to use AI for good? Or, will it be used to nurture the emerging geopolitical rivalry between the West and the East? What does AI promise to the Global South? This lecture discusses current developments and anticipates possible future scenarios.

About Andrea Renda
Andrea Renda is a Senior Research Fellow and Head of the CEPS Unit on Global Governance, Regulation, Innovation and the Digital Economy (GRID). He is Part-Time Professor of Digital Policy at the School of Transnational Governance of the European University Institute, in Florence (Italy), where he teaches AI Policy and coordinates the Digitalisation area. He is a non-resident Senior Fellow at Duke University’s Kenan Institute for Ethics and was Adjunct Professor of Law and Economics at Duke Law School (United States) for Academic Year 2016/2017. He is also Visiting Professor of Competition Policy and the Digital Economy at the College of Europe in Bruges (Belgium). He is a Fellow of the World Academy of Arts and
Science, a CITI Fellow at Columbia University’s Centre for Tele-Information and a member of the European Parliament’s STOA International Advisory Board. Andrea was a member of the EU High Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence; he is currently member of the advisory group on Economic and Societal Impacts of Research (ESIR), for the European Commission, DG Research and Innovation; member of the Expert Group on “Smart Specialisation Strategies for Sustainability” (S4) at the European Commission, Joint Research Centre. He is member of the STOA International Advisory Board member of the OECD Network of Experts on AI; and former member of the Task Force on AI of the Italian Ministry of Economic Development. He co-funded and co-directs the Brookings/CEPS Forum for Cooperation on AI.

Friday, April 29 16:00 - 17:30 (CEST)
Tony Payan: “Migration as a Driver of Geopolitics and the Crisis of Democracy in the 21st Century”

- Human mobility, more often spoken of as “migration” today, was the norm for many centuries. Entire peoples as well as families and individuals moved as conditions where they lived became harsh—economically, politically, socially or culturally. The advent of the Nation-state in the 1600s, its consolidation in the 1700s, its assertion as the method for global territorial governance in the 1800s, and the rise of the bureaucratized police state of the 1900s, however, meant the increasing confinement of human beings to a specific territory, sometimes even at a subnational level, and the exigence of absolute citizen loyalty to the sovereign, regardless of its kind. In effect, as bureaucracy, education, law, and other social systems reinforcing the Nation-state as the highest form of organization advanced, unrestricted mobility became undesirable, at least on a global scale. Moreover, as technology leapt forward, availing the Nation-state of a greater ability to control its territory, its border, and its citizens, the Nation-state hardened, even in the face of globalization forces. Thus, despite talk of the “global village” at the end of the 20th Century, the forces that cause people to move on a global scale, most of which have not fundamentally changed, and in some ways, they be getting worse, clashed stridently against the insecurities of the modern Nation-state and are more and more resonating in the latent prejudices of the publics in the receiving nations. Yet, as always, economic crises, political ungovernability, violent conflict, and now climate change, are motivating migrants to go. The result of the tension between the “need to move” and the accumulated “anxieties of the Nation-state” are producing two different effects—one on the international scene, with clear manifestations along national borders and systematic violations of human rights, and another among national publics, leading to substantive turmoil in
electorates, with serious consequences to the future prospects of democracy as populist tap into the worst fears of their fellow citizens. What is at stake is no less than global governance and human freedom.

About Tony Payan
Tony Payan, Ph.D., is the Françoise and Edward Djerejian Fellow for Mexico Studies and director of the Center for the United States and Mexico at Rice University's Baker Institute for Public Policy. He is also a professor of social sciences at the Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez in Ciudad Juárez, Chihuahua, Mexico. Between 2001 and 2015, Payan was a professor of political science at The University of Texas at El Paso.

Payan’s research focuses primarily on border studies, particularly the U.S.-Mexico border. His work centers largely on issues of borderlands as areas of habitation, including the various conditions that affect life in liminal spaces. This includes cross-border flows, both legal and illegal, of people and contraband, as well as border governance. He also researches problems affecting the U.S.-Mexico relationship. Payan has authored two books, “Cops, Soldiers and Diplomats: Understanding Agency Behaviour in the War on Drugs” (2006) and “The Three U.S.-Mexico Border Wars: Drugs, Immigration and Homeland Security” (2016).

Payan has served on several boards, including the Camino Real Regional Mobility Authority in El Paso, Texas, and the Plan Estratégico de Juárez in Ciudad Juárez, Chihuahua, Mexico. He is a member of the Greater Houston Partnership’s Immigration Task Force and the Mexico Energy Task Force. He previously served as president of the Association of Borderlands Studies between 2009 and 2010.

Payan earned a B.A. in philosophy and classical languages from the University of Dallas and an MBA from the University of Dallas Graduate School of Management. He received a doctorate degree in international relations from Georgetown University in 2001.

Book recommendations:


Saskia Sassen. Migrantes y Ciudadanos: De las migraciones masivas a la Europa Fortaleza. 2016. Siglo XXI.

CLOSING SESSION
Friday, April 29 19:15 - 19:30 (CEST)
Minya Chan - Co-Head of IAPSS World Congress, Crystal Staebell - Co-Head of IAPSS World Congress, Justin Patrick - President of IAPSS
Student Panels

26.04 Tuesday
Session I.I.I
Tuesday 10:45 - 12:15 (CEST)
- Making of Russia policy within the German “grand coalition”
  ○ Viktor Savinok (Maria Curie-Sklodowska University in Lublin)
- Evolution in Two Decades: China’s Foreign Policy and Pax Americana
  ○ Liao Jingbo (Sichuan University)
- The Sino-Russian partnership: The closest strangers may go the long way
  ○ Zheng Hao (University of Macau)

Session I.I.I.I
Tuesday 10:45 - 12:15 (CEST)
- The Duration of Collective Actions in China, 2000-2019
  ○ Yuhan Hu (University of Oxford)
- Institutes for the development of United territorial communities, local economic development institutions, decentralization
  ○ Kulyba Valeriia (Alfred Nobel University)
- Can the Economic Integration in the MENA Overcome Political Instability?
  ○ Mohannad Ahmed Saeed Ibrahim Nada (The British University in Egypt)

Session I.I.I.II
Tuesday 10:45 - 12:15 (CEST)
- Crisis Governance during COVID-19: Beyond Regime Type
  ○ Rutaba Tariq (University of Karachi)
- Politics of Crisis or Crisis of Politics
  ○ Konstantinos Pechynakis (University of Crete)
- To what extent are Africans affected by irreconcilable international policy trends during the COVID-19 pandemic?
  ○ Mpho Nyamathe (University of Johannesburg)
- The Securitization of COVID-19 In Trump’s America: The Identification of China as A Threat and The Deterioration of US-Sino Relations
  ○ Yara Ahmed El-Behairy (The British University in Egypt)

Session I.I.I.II
Tuesday 12:45 - 14:15 (CEST)
- Rethinking the Role of Women in the Developing World: Examining the impacts of the shadow pandemic
  ○ Shorouk Elzayat (Suez Canal University)
- Between Cultural Diversity and Quarantine Policy: A Comparative Study on the Case of Five States
  ○ Yi-Dong Yang (China Foreign Affairs University)
- The Hidden Crisis of Modern Politics -- Rethinking “the Invisible Hands” of Adam Smith
  ○ Zhen Han (University of Macau)

Session I.I.I.III
Tuesday 12:45 - 14:15 (CEST)
- Regime Type and Diversionary War: A Game Theoretic Model
- Francesco Azzoni (Università Commerciale Luigi Bocconi)
  - https://drive.google.com/open?id=1CuDDfH-oTHTZ-ZOMfdi9haL3lsuSJDvm
  - Sinead Mwale (The University of Zambia)
    - Yanaminah Thullah (University of Ottawa)
  - The fiction of the global order: Globalization v. State sovereignty
    - Gianluca Piran Fuselli (Universidad Nacional de San Juan)

Session I.II.III
Tuesday 12:45 - 14:15 (CEST)
- Climate Security and Security Governance Beyond the Central State: Moving the Debate Forward
  - Ana Raquel Almeida Dias (University of Minho)
- Securitisation of Climate Change Between Technical and Political Discourses: The Case of the United States
  - Deena Wahba (The British University in Egypt)
- Wetland conservation in the hands of grassroots communities and decentralised governance: A case of Lake Chilwa in Malawi
  - Ratidzo Chido Makombe (University of Johannesburg)

Session I.III.I
Tuesday 14:30 - 16:00 (CEST)
- The Thucydidean Pericles and the Limits of Statesmanship
  - Deven Mukkamala (University of Chicago)
- Updating Liberal Democracy – Instituting Reason in Democracy
  - Ivan Serwano (University of York)
- An Arendtian account of feminist politics?
  - Paul Nicolas Möller (Universität Leipzig)
- Age of Paralysis
  - Pieter Rondelez Ghent University

Session I.III.II
Tuesday 14:30 - 16:00 (CEST)
- Dual Citizenship Challenges in Zimbabwe: High Court v. Supreme Court Rulings
  - Farah Tolu-Honary (Beloit College)
- Citizenship tests and their influence on the number of citizenship applicants in EU countries
  - Karina Adamova (Georgetown University in Qatar)
- Nationality law between cultural defence policies and Golden Visas
  - Eleonora Iannario (Sapienza University of Rome)

Session I.III.III
Tuesday 14:30 - 16:00 (CEST)
- Returning Back to Kashmir: Examining Lashkar-e-Taiba’s Actions and Discourse after the Taliban Victory in Afghanistan
  - Ali Siddiqi (American University)
- The Regional Security Dynamics of the Gulf Region Post-2011
  - Nesma Tarek Elsonosy (The British University in Egypt)
27.04 Wednesday  
Session II.I  
Wednesday 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- Civilian Protection After 16 Years of Resuscitation: Is R2P Dead or Alive?
  - Andrea Knapp (University of Bologna)
- Structural Violence in Rwanda: The Path to Genocide
  - Vinod Bal (University of Waikato)
- Building Intergroup Trust Through Personal transfers: A Field Experiment in Post-war Liberia
  - Sekou Jabateh (New York University Abu Dhabi)
- Role of the structure and agency in shaping the transitional justice approaches (case of Sri Lanka 2009-2015)
  - Thumira Gunasena (University of Auckland)

Session II.I.II  
Wednesday 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- The Post-Westphalian State in International Relations: A Study of Islamic State of Iraq and Syria (ISIS)
  - Jatin (University of Delhi)
- Claims to Legitimacy in Autocracies: How Nationalism Works in Iran
  - Amin Esmaeilzadeh Aghjeh (University of Vienna)
- A new European sovereignty. The "Empire" from Kojève to Negri
  - Carlo De Nuzzo (The Paris Institute of Political Studies)

Session II.I.III  
Wednesday 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- China’s "Digital Silk Road" Construction: Progress, Dilemmas and Cooperation Paths
  - Haiye Cheng (Shanghai International Studies University)
- The Normative Enactment of International Cybersecurity Capacity Building Assistance: A Comparative Analysis on Japanese and South Korean Practices
  - Azza Bimantara (Corvinus University of Budapest)
- The influence of COVID-19 on the adoption of e-government in Armenia
  - Satine Abrahamyan (University of Wroclaw)

Session II.I.I  
Wednesday 16:15 - 17:45 (CEST)

  - Li Yuansheng (Osaka University)
- Symbolism & Success: Reviving Black Internationalism Through Du Bois and Black Radical Tradition
  - Joel-Anthoney Bosso (Georgetown University)
- Authentic Compassion: Why political anger must be understood and remedied through the hermeneutical tools of the oppressed
  - Xoliswa Lushozi (University of the Witwatersrand)
- The fall of the inclusion/moderation hypothesis: the movement’s relationship with the movement’s party and its impact on the party’s ability to survive
  - Mohammad Ramadan Bashandy Omar (Cairo University)
Session II.II.II
Wednesday 16:15 - 17:45 (CEST)

- Digitalization in Sub-Saharan Africa: geopolitical competition disguised as development issue?
  - Stephanie Arnold (University of Bologna)
- The Digitalisation of Currency: A Study of its Management and Economic Implication
  - Mahinour Ahmed Aboulatta (The British University in Egypt)
- Policing the Wild West of Commercialized Spyware: Current and Potential Export Controls
  - Elliott James Neal (University of Manchester)
- Implications of Cyberspace on International Relations Theories
  - Danny X Shao (American University) and Michael Sun (New York University)

Session II.II.III
Wednesday 16:15 - 17:45 (CEST)

- The Effects of Dutch Colonialism on the Moluccan Self-Determination Case
  - Charissa Leiwakabessy (VU University & University of Amsterdam)
- Civilian Casualties and Partisanship: Emotional Responses to Perceptions of Violence
  - Autumn Perkey (University of Maryland, College Park)
- "A race thing": Perceptions of Critical Race Theory and the State of Education in the United States
  - Lily Green and Darci Lewis (University of South Alabama)

Session II.III.I
Wednesday 18:00 - 19:30 (CEST)

- Student Leadership and Student Government
  - Justin Patrick (University of Toronto)
- Reimagining education through grassroots institutions
  - Kamogelo Segone (University of the Witwatersrand)
- Civic Education and Voter Turnout
  - Veniece Miller (University of Colorado Boulder)

Session II.III.II
Wednesday 18:00 - 19:30 (CEST)

- Videogames and the alt right: a successful relationship
  - Pablo Esteban Romero Medina (University of Granada)
- Beyond populism? A study of the Hungarian case of authoritarian leadership.
  - Deniz Oguzhan (Universität Leipzig)
- Electoral Authoritarianism’s Inherent Contradiction: Understanding International Incentives for Democratization
  - Evan Mann (Georgetown University)

Session II.III.III
Wednesday 18:00 - 19:30 (CEST)

- Where Did It Go Wrong? Mercosur’s Path from Success to Slump
  - Justin Dynia (Boston University)
- Working Women, Failing Policy: Structural Adjustment and Female Labor in Ghana and Zambia
- Emma Anderson (London School of Economics)
  - State and business collaboration in the neoliberalisation of nature: An analysis of mining bids in the Wild Coast, South Africa
  - Malaka Lesego Samora Mahlatsi (University of Johannesburg)
  - https://drive.google.com/open?id=1w6-IAwO9ORun6zC9yR1aof bq6eSCK
  - Mahlohonolo Mohapi (University of Cape Town)

28.04 Thursday
Session III.I
Thursday 12:00 - 13:30 (CEST)
- Technology and Politics
  - Syed Abbas Ali Sherazi (University of Sargodha)
- Public Relations’ Role in Hindu Revivalism and Bharatiya Janata Party’s Ascent: A Case Study of the Ram Temple Campaign
  - Dannica Eirren P. Batoon (Monash University)
- Facebook and the Rohingya Crisis: Social Media as Political Actors
  - Irene Quadrelli (Johns Hopkins University)
- The evolution of industry promotional rhetoric on nuclear energy in the United States from the 1950s to the 1980s
  - Noor Maslinda Binti Ridzuan Chun (Georgetown University)

Session III.I.II
Thursday 12:00 - 13:30 (CEST)
- Re-Assessing the Protean Contours of Sino-Indian Regional Power Dynamics in the Indian Ocean: Challenges, Opportunities, and Blue Economy’s Teleological Quest
  - Abhigyan Guha (Jadavpur University)
- Spiritual Ecological Consciousness: Gandhian Perspective of Environmentalism
  - Annapoorani Pandiyani (Gandhigram Rural Institute)
- Tackle Climate Change, Harmonization Be Damned
  - Jack Baker (University of Bern)

Session III.I.III
Thursday 12:00 - 13:30 (CEST)
  - Xinran Liu (The University of Tokyo)
- Understanding the representation of Lebanese women in senior public administration positions from a feminist institutionalist approach
  - Sarah Al Bouery (American University of Beirut)
- New legislative framework to govern sex work in South Africa: an integrated model
  - Corey Spengler-Gathercole (University of the Witwatersrand)

Session III.II.I
Thursday 14:15 - 15:45 (CEST)
- Weaponizing Conspiracy Theories in Affectively Polarized Societies: An Analysis of Elite Vertical influence on Pandemic Homicides
  - Skylar White (American University)
- Dual Presidency
  - Sophia Lyman (University of Southern California)
  - Filipe Silva Fernandes (Aveiro University)
- **Regionalization: A Case Study into the Political Right in Canada during the 1993 Federal Election**
  - Jeremy Gustafson (Quinnipiac University)

**Session III.II.II**
Thursday 13:00 - 14:30 (CET)
- **Vox, una nueva derecha radical a la antigua**
  - Alberto Escribano López
- **Los efectos mayoritarios del sistema electoral español siguen después la crisis de los partidos del 2015**
  - Enrico Marro (Università degli studi di Firenze)
- **Identidades Sociales Y Conflicto Político: Una Propuesta De Analisis De Los Perfiles De Base En Cada Grupo De Adscripción En España**
  - Alejandro Soler Conteras and José Miguel Rojo Martínez (Universidad de Murcia)
- **¿Competition estratégica entre grandes potencias vs. autonomía estratégica? ¿Conceptos convergentes?**
  - Rocío Vales Calderón (Universidad Pablo de Olavide)

**Session III.II.II**
Thursday 18:00 - 19:30 (CEST)
- **From “Free Competition” to “Fair Competition”: The Rising Norms of Industrial Policy and Competition with China in the European Union Through the Siemens/Alstom and Alstom/Bombardier Merger Cases**
  - Peter Brukx (The George Washington University)
- **Why Chinese Investments Lead to Community Conflict in Kyrgyzstan But Not Turkish Investments**
  - Biligtsaikhan Uuganbayar (Tsinghua University)
- **Crypto-Welfare: An Investigation into the Practical Implementation of Community Cryptocurrencies**
  - Dael Vasquez (University of Toronto)
- **Confrontation or cooperation: A interpretive approach analysis of U.S-China trade war relations**
  - Yutong Deng (American University)

**Session III.III.I**
Thursday 18:00 - 19:30 (CEST)
- **Shifting Geopolitics of Control: The Power Dynamics of Post-Colonial Societies in the Arctic and the Sahel**
  - Victoria Bergström (Providence College)
- **A Conflict Analysis on the Maritime Border Dispute Between Lebanon & Israel (2010-2021)**
  - Abbas Ismail (ISCTE University Institute of Lisbon)
- **Revisiting the relation between Terrorism and Modernity: Cultural Analysis of Modern Terrorism**
Session III.III.III
Thursday 18:00 - 19:30 (CEST)

- **Anti-colonial Resistance: Barriers to Realizing Nonviolence**
  - Shorouk Elzayat (Suez Canal University)
  - Houlton Dannenberg (American University)

- **Protecting Polar Interests: The Role of the U.S. in Shaping Arctic Policy**
  - Catherine Manning (US Air Force Academy)

- **Low-Intensity Conflict: Its Colonial Roots and Repercussions**
  - Jessica Cross (University of Connecticut)

- **Crisis in Ukraine: How Human Rights Abuse Morphs Power**
  - Danny X Shao (American University) and Michael Sun (New York University)

29.04 Friday

Session IV.I
Friday 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- **At the crossroads of discrimination: A Comparative Case Study of Transgender Asylum Seekers Access’ to Healthcare in Germany and the Netherlands**
  - Erédíra León Salvador (Pompeu Fabra University & University of Liège)

- **An ‘ideal Homeland’: through the lens of a displaced community**
  - Zarnain Manzoor (The University of Trans-Disciplinary Health Sciences and Technology)

- **The Morality of Border Controls: The Communitarian and Cosmopolitan Debate: On The Freedom of Association to Exclude or Include an Immigrant**
  - Karli Woods (Brock University)

Session IV.II
Friday 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- **Revisiting parliamentary procedure: examining the Petition of Concern mechanism in the Northern Ireland Assembly**
  - Leah Rachel Rea (Ulster University)

- **Posthuman Politics: implications of human biomedical enhancement for liberal democracies**
  - Ludovico Campagnolo (Università Commerciale Luigi Bocconi)

- **Implementing the Sustainable Development Goals: Revisiting the concept localization**
  - Shorouk Elzayat (Suez Canal University)

Session IV.III
Friday 10:00 - 11:30 (CEST)

- **Having Foresight in State Relations and Administration**
  - Afşin Fidan (International University of Sarajevo)

- **A Brief Analysis of the Multilayered Dilemma of Mauritania’s Nation-State Building**
  - Zhu (Evan) Tang (University of International Business and Economics)

- **Traditional Legitimation, Monarchies, and the Middle East**
  - Ghofrane Lahib (American University of Sharjah)

Session IV.II.I
Friday 14:30 - 16:00 (CEST)

- The Neoliberal Curse: Compatibilities and struggles between liberal democracies and neoliberal capitalism
  ○ Lee Derek Terrance (Yale-NUS College)
- No Strength, No Loyalty: Coup-proofing, Regime Type, and Coup
  ○ Liang Li (Fudan University)
- The Intrinsic Value of Democracy: A Better Understanding of Global Support for Democratic Governance
  ○ Falyn Dwyer (Columbia University)

Session IV.II.II
Friday 14:30 - 16:00 (CEST)

- Alienación en Trabajos de Servicio: Un Peligro para la Igualdad Democrática
  ○ Gonzalo Zamora Galleguillo (Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile)
- ¿Puede el feminismo descolonial incorporar a la interseccionalidad como herramienta y práctica política en la lucha feminista?
  ○ Katherine Velastegui Córdova (Universidad de Las Américas)
- La corrupción, captura y cooptación estatal en América Latina y el Caribe: una revisión teórica
  ○ Shameel Thahir Silva (Universidad Externado de Colombia)
- Postmodern Black Knights - Democratización o autocratización digital
  ○ Benjamin Segovia (Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile)

Session IV.III.I
Friday 17:45 - 19:15 (CEST)

- Gender Inequality in Japan—Past and Present
  ○ Natalie Snelson (The George Washington University)
- “Girls Do Not Give Two Hoots About Men”: The Disguised Implications of the Postfeminist Beauty Rhetoric
  ○ Julia Morelli (University of Saskatchewan)
- What happens to abafazi? Exploring where the redefining of gender leaves women of developing countries
  ○ Nqophisa Diko (University of Pretoria)
- A Critical Queer Reading of Tocqueville’s Democracy in America Chapter 10 with A Specific Focus on the Institution of Slavery
  ○ Olivia Jade Henry (Georgetown University)

Session IV.III.II
Friday 17:45 - 19:15 (CEST)

- The Rise of Competitive Authoritarianism among Consolidated Democracies: A Comparative Case Study of Hungary, India and Turkey
  ○ Julie Adiwal (Korea University)
- The Rise of Populism
  ○ Vrinda Batra (Georgetown University)
- Veterans’ Role in U.S. Democracy Erosion: The Case of Vets in Congress
  ○ Margaret Ulrich (Macalester College) and Sydney Fitch (US Air Force Academy)

Session IV.III.III
Friday 17:45 - 19:15 (CEST)
• Canada in Comparison: The Emergence and Success of Green Parties
  ○ Evangeline Kroon (York University)
• Bolivia’s State Sponsored Lithium Gamble
  ○ Nizar Sakly (Fairfield University)
• What can REDD+ learn from Ecological Economics?
  ○ Natasha Ortolan Ervilha (Ghent University)
Information for Panelists

TIME STRUCTURE OF PANELS
Each paper presentation will consist of a presentation by the paper presenter, the discussant’s feedback, and a Q&A session with the audience.

Panels featuring three panelists will be structured (as per paper presenter) as follows:

- 12 minutes presentation; 3 minutes discussion by the discussant; 15 minutes Q&A with the audience.

Panels featuring four panelists will be structured (as per paper presenter) as follows:

- 10 minutes presentation; 2.5 minutes discussion by the discussant; 10 minutes Q&A with the audience.

All panels are limited to 90 minutes each. Please check the number of paper presenters in your panel and adapt your presentation according to the scheme above. All paper presenters, discussants, and chairs are requested to be online at least 15 minutes before the panel’s opening.

Presenting a paper with one or more co-authors? Bear in mind the time structure above is per paper presented, not per presenter.

***If you experience any issues during the presentation (technical issues, chair doesn’t show, etc.), there will be moderators jumping around rooms to ensure that everything is running smoothly.

HOW TO BE A GOOD PANELIST
Keep to time. You will be allocated between 10 and 12 minutes of time (according to the total number of paper presenters in your panel), and if you go over the limit, this time will be taken from the time of your co-panelists. If you run out of time, you will be cut off mid-presentation.

Structure your presentation so that the audience has the time to ask you questions afterwards.

Prepare your speech beforehand. You do not need to read directly from the paper, but hint-cards are always useful.
If you write down your speech, make sure you have leeway time-wise. Speakers reading directly from the paper very often underestimate the time they will need for presentation.

If you are presenting, please keep your camera on during the duration of the panel.

During the conference, you will have the option to use a presentation to support your research, whether it be a powerpoint or a digital poster that will display your findings and methodology. Screen sharing will be possible via Zoom when the moderator grants you rights to share your screen, but ensure in advance that your presentation will function properly, and have your screen ready to present by the time that the panel starts.

Be precise and concise. You are presenting your research results, not making a political speech. Keep in mind that your audience is smart.

Read the papers of your colleagues. It is polite to prepare at least one question for each of your co-panelists and give them proper feedback. Your work does not end with finishing your paper, as the key to a successful academic panel is discussion and feedback among the participants.

We will be providing recordings of the panels, but participants are also allowed to record the sessions so long as they receive consent from all panelists beforehand. To ask for consent, you may either 1. email all participants beforehand asking if you may take a recording or 2. ask everyone before the panel officially starts if you may take a recording of the session. We do encourage you to take screenshots to share on social media.

HOW TO BE A GOOD PANEL CHAIR
You must read the papers in your panel carefully and prepare questions.

Start your panel exactly on time whenever possible.

No need to introduce yourself or anyone else at length. At most, say people’s names and institutional affiliations. Let them introduce their papers themselves.

Let the panelists speak in the order listed on the programme unless they request otherwise – for technical reasons, for example.

Keep each panelist to the time allotted. This is the most important part of the role of the panel chair. Divide the entire session evenly between all panelists. If one person goes over the time limit, they are cutting directly into the time of the other panelists, and that must be avoided. Give people a subtle warning when they are close to time by typing out ‘5 minutes/2 minutes/1 minute’ in the chat box. Once
they are out of time, give them notice. If they do not stop, you will need to be bold and ask them out loud to wrap up.

Take questions from the audience immediately after individual presentations. The Q&A should comprise at least one third of the time allocated to one panelist. If there are lots of questions, try to avoid asking any yourself, but do try to think of some just in case the audience goes silent too soon.

Do not allow the audience to go off on a lengthy tangent; you may need to interrupt them to refocus the discussion.

Make sure the session finishes on time. People will want to take a break and stretch their legs before the next events, and if you want to continue discussing with someone about their research, you can always reach out to them via email.

Thank the presenters at the end of every presentation.

HOW TO BE A GOOD DISCUSSANT
As a discussant at IAPSS events, you serve two different needs:

Your first and most important responsibility is to help the audience understand the papers better. You must read the papers carefully, take time to understand their contributions, strengths and weaknesses, and what the audience needs to know about them.

Your second responsibility is to the authors. What are your reactions to the papers and why? What can the authors do to improve the paper? Learning how to read other papers with the critical eye of a good discussant will help you to learn how to write papers that appeal to the profession.

Review all papers through the following steps:

1. Briefly summarise the main messages, arguments, and the paper’s contributions to academia and the wider society.
2. Provide an assessment of the paper: does it make its case convincingly and is it academically rigorous?
3. Suggest constructive ways to improve the paper.
4. Highlight the relevance of the paper to academia and society.
5. Stimulate conversation among the audience by posing questions for discussion. During the discussion, you can also draw comparisons between two or more papers presented in your panel.
SOCIAL MEDIA

Use #iapss2022 in your social post you may make regarding the conference. We permit participants to take screenshots and share them on social media so long as they have permission from other panelists. To keep up to date on news from the conference, follow us on our social media.

Instagram: @iapssgram  
LinkedIn: https://www.linkedin.com/company/international-association-for-political-science-students  
Twitter: @iapss  
Facebook: https://www.facebook.com/IAPSS

IAPSS General Assembly and Elections

If you enjoyed the World Congress and are looking for more ways to get involved in IAPSS, join us the day after at our General Assembly and Elections and make your voice heard!

The IAPSS General Assembly and Elections will take place online on Saturday, April 30, 2022, at 13:00 CEST (7:00 EDT). This is a chance to take part in crucial decision-making about the future of IAPSS as well as run and vote for IAPSS elected positions at continental and global levels. Important information about how to submit motions and run for positions is available on our website here, the deadlines for which are April 24th. This webpage also includes the agenda and important documents plus important information on how to participate. We encourage you to read through this information and let us know if you have any questions or if there is anything else you need.

IAPSS operates according to its official Bylaws that were written in compliance with Canada Not-for-profit Corporations Act. Before writing a motion, we suggest you get familiarized with IAPSS' Bylaws to ensure your motion is compliant with IAPSS' legal framework. All official documents of IAPSS are available here.

In addition, we encourage you to consider running for IAPSS' elected positions. These volunteer roles are very important to the organization and include the Global Executive Committee, the Advisory Board, and the 6 Regional Chair positions from each inhabited continent. More information about these positions and how to become a candidate can be found on the website here.

We look forward to seeing you there!